EMC Simulation of Complex PCB inside a Metallic Enclosure and Shielding Effectiveness Analysis

Antonio Ciccomancini Scogna [#], Martin Schauer[#]

[#]*CST of America, Inc.*
10 Laurel Avenue, Suite 300, Wellesley Hills, MA 02481USA
[1]`antonio.ciccomancini@cst.com`
[2]`martin.schauer@cst.com`

Abstract— **In the present paper the Finite Integration Technique (FIT) in combination with the Partial Element Equivalent Circuit (PEEC) is employed to investigate the shielding performance of a metallic enclosure used for digital switching equipment. The current distribution on the power plane of a complex printed circuit board is used to excite the system. The effect of apertures' shape and configuration on the value of the radiated Electric field is studied. It is shown that dividing a specified area into a combination of multiple apertures may reduce the value of the radiated emissions and therefore improve the shielding effectiveness. The usage of honeycomb panels is finally investigated.**

I. INTRODUCTION

In order to comply with the stringent radiated emission limits imposed by the standards and taking into account the increasing clock speed and data rates of current high-speed digital electronics, it is often necessary to shield the enclosure where the Printed Circuit Board (PCB) is located. A thorough EMC or Signal Integrity assessment of a complete board or system is often a daunting task due to the extreme complexity of modern electronic systems.

System designers would like to accurately evaluate the electromagnetic interference (EMI) produced by high-speed signals. This capability is useful in predicting and correcting interference problems at various stages in the design process. There are several requirements for an accurate evaluation of these effects. First, the complicated multilayered board and package structures used in today's designs, including signal traces, supply planes and vias, must be modeled in a way that takes into account full-wave effects.

Secondly, the behavior of various shielding structures such as metallic enclosures must be taken into account as well. Finally, the issue of problems consisting of small structures embedded in large computational domains must be addressed. Performing such analysis is often a computational challenge. A three dimensional (3D) solver is desirable for modeling the arbitrary shapes of the enclosures (which often includes slots, apertures [1]), but simulating a multi-layer board or package can be difficult and memory consuming.

The problem results from the high complexity of modern boards and packages and the 3D nature of the system enclosures surrounding them.

We address this problem by using the following approach: 1) a specialized Partial Element Equivalent Circuit (PEEC) [2] is employed to compute the current distribution on the power (PWR) plane of a complex PCB, 2) a full-wave Finite Integration Technique (FIT) [3] is used to analyze the shielding performance of a metallic enclosure due to the calculated current distribution.

The effect of apertures' shape and configuration on the value of the radiated Electric field and the related shielding effectiveness (SE) are studied. It is shown that dividing a specified area into a combination of multiple apertures may reduce the value of the radiated emissions. The usage of honeycomb panels is also investigated.

The structure of the paper is the following: in the next section the FIT technique is briefly described and validated by using as test vehicle a model already existent in literature [4]. In Section III the equivalent electromagnetic model used for the numerical simulation, the workflow process and the SE results are discussed. Finally Section IV draws some concluding remarks.

II. FIT CODE VALIDATION

The numerical technique used to characterize the full structure (board and metallic enclosure) is the FIT, first proposed by T. Weiland [5].

FIT falls in the class of differential time-domain methods and generates exact algebraic analogs to Maxwell's equations that guarantee physical properties of computed fields and lead to one solution. Maxwell's equations, and the related material equations, are transformed from the continuous domain into a discrete space by allocating electric voltages on the edges of a grid G and magnetic voltages on the edges of a dual grid $\tilde{G}$. The allocation of the voltage and flux components on the grid can be seen in Fig. 1.


Fig. 1 Allocation of the electric and magnetic components in the spatial grids.

The discrete equivalent of Maxwell's equations, the so-called Maxwell's Grid Equations, are (1)–(4)

$$C\hat{e} = -\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\hat{b}} \quad (1)$$

$$\tilde{C}\hat{h} = \frac{d}{dt}\hat{\hat{d}} + \hat{\hat{j}} \quad (2)$$

$$S\hat{\hat{b}} = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\tilde{S}\hat{\hat{d}} = q \quad (4)$$

In these equations, $\hat{e}$ and $\hat{h}$ denote the electric voltages between grid points and the magnetic voltages between dual grid points, respectively. The symbols $\hat{\hat{d}}$, $\hat{\hat{b}}$ and $\hat{\hat{j}}$ are fluxes over grid or dual-grid faces.

Due to the consistent transformation, analytical properties of the fields are maintained, resulting in corresponding discrete topological operators on the staggered grid duplet. The topology matrices, C, $\tilde{C}$, S and $\tilde{S}$ correspond to the curl and the div-operators. The tilde indicates that the operator belongs to the dual grid. The discrete analog of the coupling between voltages and fluxes is represented by the material matrices M_ε, $M_{\mu^{-1}}$ and M_κ.

$$\hat{\hat{d}} = M_\varepsilon\hat{e} \quad (5)$$

$$\hat{h} = M_{\mu^{-1}}\hat{\hat{b}} \quad (6)$$

$$\hat{\hat{j}} = M_\kappa\hat{e} + \hat{\hat{j}}_A \quad (7)$$

In all of the simulations performed in this paper, the conductive parts of the structures have been simulated as perfect electric conductive material (PEC), enforcing the tangential component of the electric field being zero.
Equations (1)–(4) along with (7)–(7) are solved in the time domain.

The discretization of the time derivative is formulated as an explicit algorithm in a way that the FIT in the time domain can be considered as a generalization of the Finite Difference Time Domain (FDTD) method. The transient waveforms obtained by the simulations are then converted in the frequency domain by a fast Fourier transform.

To check the reliability of the FIT-based code the enclosure model presented in [4] has been built: it is a rectangular box of size (30 x 12 x 30 in centimeters) with a rectangular aperture of size (10 x 0.5) located at the center of the frontal wall (15, 6, and 0).

The enclosure is illuminated by a normal incident plane wave (farfield source) at 0 degree polarization and three probes are placed in the center position inside the enclosure in order to register the three components of the electric field (Ex, Ey, Ez) and to calculate the SE afterwards.

Fig.2 plots the SE results of FIT, other numerical techniques as well as measurements. A good agreement can be observed over the considered frequency range.


Fig. 2 SE versus frequency at the center of the enclosure illuminated by a normal incident plane wave.

III. 3D ELECTROMAGNETIC MODEL AND SIMULATION STRATEGY

A view of the metallic enclosure analyzed in the present paper is shown in Fig. 3a: it is a 370 x 90 x 296 mm box with a front panel with 2 rectangular apertures of dimensions: 126 x 14 mm and 80 x 60mm.

On the top of the box is mounted a cover, inside it there are two boards (see Fig.3b) and a heat sink.

The thickness of the metal walls (PEC) is t = 2 mm and the dielectric material of the board 1 has relative electric permittivity ε_r = 4.0.


(a)

(b)

Fig. 3 Three dimensional (3D) view of the metallic enclosure.

Board 2 is a typical 6 layers PCB with hundred of nets, vias and connections. The PWR plane is split in islands by means of gaps. Due the complexity of the board, the dynamic link with a PEEC based code [2] is used to evaluate the current distribution on the PWR plane. The calculate field is then used within the FIT in order to perform the 3D numerical simulation of the metallic enclosure. The workflow design process is summarized in Fig.4.


Fig. 4 Workflow process.

Electric field components are calculated in a specific location 3m distant from the frontal panel of the metallic box and the SE is also analyzed. In the considered frequency range the EM fields inside the enclosure are dominated by the first two waveguide modes and the orientation of the slots located in the frontal part of the enclosure is such that the vertical Electric field component can couple easily across the aperture.

The SE can be found from the ratio of the field strengths without and with the enclosure:

$$SE_E = 20 \log \left| \frac{E_0}{E} \right| \qquad (8)$$

Due to the highly resonant behavior of the box, in order to speed up the simulation time a very small value of loss is distributed throughout the solution space by artificially assigning a conductivity (σ=0.002 S/m) to the free space cells of the calculation domain. In [6-7] it has been already demonstrated how this artifact has practically no influence of the far field calculated results.

In order to study the effect of the apertures located on the frontal panel, three different cases are analyzed, as illustrated in Fig.5. The SE value for the tree considered cases is reported in Fig.6

Important considerations can be addressed: 1) Case 1 shows possible problems when increasing the frequency and at 2GHz the SE reaches almost a negative value, 2) Case 2 doesn't offer a sensible improvement of the SE, 3) Case 3 is the only situation where the SE can be considered acceptable within the considered frequency range.

The reason of this last case is certainly related to the fact that for rectangular apertures varying the length to width ratio

changes the location of the resonant frequency, therefore improving the SE.

Fig. 5 Different apertures size of the frontal panel.

Fig. 6 Comparison of electric SE for the different configurations reported in Fig.1

Fig.7 (a-b) depicts the Electric field, calculated at 2GHz for Case 1 and Case 3 and it straightforward to note how the electromagnetic waves are coming out the metallic enclosure thought the single apertures (a), while they are somehow contained within the enclosure in the Case 3 (b).


Fig. 7a Electric field at 2GHz for the case 1.

Fig. 7b Electric field at 2GHz for the case 3.

At this point the apertures located in the frontal panel of the metallic enclosure are covered by means of honeycomb panels, according to Fig.8.

For the left panel circular holes of 2mm diameter, 1mm distant each other are employed, while for the right panel circular holes of 4mm diameter and 2mm distant each other are modelled. The calculated results are reported in Fig. 9 where the comparison with case 1 is presented.

A sensible improvement (of more than 100dB) can be observed in this case, which shows the high performance of honeycomb panels.


Fig. 8 3D view of the metallic enclosure with honeycomb panels.

Fig. 9 SE: comparison between Case 1 and honeycomb panel.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The effect of different shapes and configuration of apertures on the SE of a metallic enclosure is studied. By combining a specialized board analysis tools based on PEEC method with a 3D full wave simulation tool (FIT), we have demonstrated an approach to computing the electromagnetic emissions of complex electronic systems, including multilayered packages, printed circuit boards, and complicated metallic enclosures.

The proposed workflow consists on three steps: 1) simulation of the complex PCB, 2) surface current distribution used to excite the enclosure and 3) full wave simulation of the metallic box.

The SE of some combinations of multiple apertures is investigated and it is shown that dividing a fixed area into some smaller apertures will lead to more efficient shielding than implementing only one aperture. This is helpful when optimizing the shape of open area used to feed trough cables or heat dissipation. The SE when honeycomb panels are employed is also analyzed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

REFERENCES

[1] V. Rajamani, C. Bunting, M. D. Deshpande, Z. A. Khan, "Validation of modal/MoM in shielding effectiveness studies of rectangular enclosures with apertures", *IEEE Trans. Electromagn. Compat*ibility, vol. 48, no. 2, May 2006, pp. 348-353.

[2] PCBmod, Simlab – also available online at www.simlab.com

[3] CST Studio Suite 2006B – also available online at www.cst.com

[4] C. F. Bunting, Khan Z. A. and Deshpande, M.D, "Shielding effectiveness of metallic enclosures at oblique and arbitrary polarizations" *IEEE Trans. Electromagn. Compat*., vol. 47, no. 1, Feb. 2005, pp. 112–122.

[5] T. Weiland, "A Discretization Method for the Solution of Maxwell's Equation for Six Component Fields", *Electronics and communication*, (AEÜ), Vol.31, (1977)

[6] G. Antonini, A.Ciccomancini Scogna, A.Orlandi, "Shielding analysis of a metallic enclosure by means of a statistical approach" in *Proceedings of EMC Europe 04*, Eindhoven, 6-10 September, 2004.

[7] A. Ciccomancini Scogna, "Shielding Performance of a Metallic Rack used for Telecommunication Equipments: FIT modeling and Measurements", in *Proceedings of IEEE Int. Symposium on EMC Zurich 06,* Singapore, February 2006.